

APPLICATION NO: 24/00044/FUL

CASE OFFICER: Andrew Hunt

WARD: Halewood South

PARISH: Halewood
Town Council

LOCATION: 69 Oakwood Road, Halewood, Knowsley, L26 1XD

PROPOSAL: ERECTION OF SINGLE STOREY SIDE AND REAR EXTENSIONS

Site Description

The application site is a Semi-detached house in a residential area at Oakwood Road. There is also a detached outbuilding at the rear which is shared with a neighbour. The site is bounded by residential properties to the north, west, south and east. There is an existing single storey rear extension at the property and an existing single storey rear extension at 67 that extends slightly further out along the boundary.

Proposal

The proposal is for the erection of a single storey side and rear extension. This would effectively form a wraparound extension with similar material to the existing building with the exception of a rooflight at the rear of the extension. The existing rear extension would be removed.

Consultations

N/A

Representations

This application has been publicised by letters sent to 7 neighbours. No objections have been received.

Planning History

An application to demolish the garage and erect a car port was approved, (74/02012/HIST - approved 01.10.1976).

Relevant Policy

Paragraph 11 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) states that planning decisions should apply a presumption in favour of sustainable development. This means approving development proposals that accord with an up-to-date development plan without delay; or where the development plan is absent, silent or out of date planning permission should be granted unless the application of policies in the NPPF that protect areas or assets of particular importance provides a clear

reason for refusing the development proposed; or any adverse impacts of doing so would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, when assessed against the policies in the NPPF taken as a whole.

Paragraph 12 of the NPPF clarifies that the presumption in favour of sustainable development does not change the statutory status of the development plan as the starting point for decision making. Where a planning application conflicts with an up-to-date development plan, permission should not normally be granted. Local planning authorities may take decisions that depart from an up-to-date development plan, but only if material considerations in a particular case indicate that the plan should not be followed.

The development plan in Knowsley comprises the Saved Policies of the Replacement Unitary Development Plan, the Core Strategy Local Plan and the Joint Waste Local Plan. The following policies are relevant to the determination of this application.

KNOWSLEY LOCAL PLAN: CORE STRATEGY

CS2 - Development Principles

CS19 - Design Quality and Accessibility in New Development

SAVED POLICIES - KNOWSLEY REPLACEMENT UNITARY DEVELOPMENT PLAN (ADOPTED JUNE 2006)

H8 - Extensions and Alterations to Residential Properties

Article 35 Statement

The Local Planning Authority has acted positively and proactively in determining this application by assessing the proposal against all material considerations, including planning policies and any representations that may have been received and subsequently determining to grant planning permission in accordance with the presumption in favour of sustainable development, as set out within the National Planning Policy Framework.

Assessment

Character of Area

The extension would result in relatively minor changes from the streetscene as the majority of the development would be at the rear. Therefore, there would be no harm to the character of the area.

Neighbour Amenity

In relation to 67, the extension would only extend 3.41 metres at the rear. This complies with the Householder guidance which allows up to 4 metres at the rear. The side extension would be on the opposite side of 67 and would not affect 67.

In relation to 71, the extension would only extend 3.41 metres at the rear and would be set back slightly from the boundary. This complies with the Householder guidance which allows up to 4 metres at the rear. In relation to the side extension, it is understood that there a side window to a main habitable room on the side of 71 that would be affected by the extension, however, it is considered that this is a secondary window and the main window of this room is at the rear. (The arrangement of 69 is the same with a side window and a rear window in the kitchen). Therefore, the extension would still allow sufficient light and outlook to 71.

Overall, it is considered that there would not be significant harm to amenity.

Conclusion

The proposal would be acceptable in relation to Street scene and Neighbour amenity. The application complies with local planning policies and is recommended for approval.

Recommendation

That planning permission be granted subject to the following conditions.

- 1) The development must be begun not later than the expiration of three years beginning with the date of this permission.

Reason: In order to comply with the requirements of Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended).

- 2) The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the following approved plans and documents :-
 - a. Existing and Proposed Plans and Elevations and Location Plan - (received 30/01/2024).

Reason: For the avoidance of doubt.

- 3) The materials to be used on the external surfaces of the extension hereby permitted shall match those of the existing building in type, size, colour and texture.

Reason: In the interests of visual amenity and to comply with Policy CS19 of the Knowsley Local Plan Core Strategy, adopted January 2016 and saved Policies H5 and H8 of the Knowsley Replacement Unitary Development Plan, adopted in June 2006.

Signed Case Officer¹:

Andrew Hunt

**Signed Authorising
Officer²:**

K Foster

Date:

14 March 2024

Informatives

~~N/A~~

1 I confirm that I do not have a personal relationship with the applicant, agent or other interested parties; I also confirm that I do not have a financial interest in the site or the development proposed

2 I confirm that I do not have a personal relationship with the applicant, agent or other interested parties; I also confirm that I do not have a financial interest in the site or the development proposed