

Heritage Statement

The Heritage Statement is for the applicant or agent to identify the heritage asset(s) that have the potential to be affected by the proposals and their setting.

The Heritage Statement should identify all heritage assets potentially affected and their settings; describe their significance; and assess the potential impact of the proposal on that significance, including direct physical change and change to their setting.

Heritage assets include designated and non-designated assets, as well as both buildings and below ground archaeology.

Please read the **Guidance notes** provided with this report to help you fill in the form correctly.

Further information on assessment of significance and assessing the potential impact of a proposal on that significance, including setting, can be found in (or their future updates):

- CLG (2012) **National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)** (especially paragraph 17, Section 12 and Annex 2 Glossary)
- CLG (2014) **Planning Policy Guidance (PPG)** (especially 18a, paragraph 001-063)
- Historic England (2015) **Good Practice Advice (GPA) note 2 Managing Significance in Decision Taking in the Historic Environment**
- Historic England (2015) **Good Practice Advice (GPA) note 3 The Setting of Heritage Assets**

The NPPF and PPG also include a Glossary and explanation of terms, such as 'heritage asset', 'setting' and 'significance':

Heritage asset: A building, monument, site, place, area or landscape identified as having a degree of significance meriting consideration in planning decisions, because of its heritage interest. Heritage asset includes designated heritage assets and assets identified by the local planning authority (including local listing).

Setting of a heritage asset: The surroundings in which a heritage asset is experienced. Its extent is not fixed and may change as the asset and its surroundings evolve. Elements of a setting may make a positive or negative contribution to the significance of an asset, may affect the ability to appreciate that significance or may be neutral.

Significance (for heritage policy): The value of a heritage asset to this and future generations because of its heritage interest. That interest may be archaeological, architectural, artistic or historic. Significance derives not only from a heritage asset's physical presence, but also from its setting.

(NPPF Annex 2: Glossary)

Appendix 1 relates to the Historic Environment Record (HER) Consultation Report. Here you must state whether or not supporting data from the HER is required.

There are 3 options:

- HER report attached (this must be completed by the Historic Environment Record Officer)
- HER report not considered necessary – email attached from HER
- HER report not required by the Local Planning Authority as detailed on the relevant website validation requirements

Please tick the relevant box at the back of this form as to which option applies.

Both the Heritage Statement and Appendix 1 must be completed in order to meet validation requirements of the Local Planning Authority – tick the boxes on the right hand side below to confirm the sections completed.

Note: All fields are mandatory. Failure to fully complete all fields may result in the form not being validated by the Local Planning Authority.

To be completed by the applicant – please tick relevant boxes	
1. Heritage Statement completed	
2. Appendix 1 completed	

National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)

The National Planning Policy Framework (Department for Communities and Local Government, March 2012) Section 128 states that: In determining applications, local planning authorities should require an applicant to describe the significance of any heritage assets affected, including any contribution made by their setting. The level of detail should be proportionate to the assets' importance and no more than is sufficient to understand the potential impact of the proposal on their significance. As a minimum the relevant historic environment record should have been consulted and the heritage assets assessed using appropriate expertise where necessary. Where a site on which development is proposed includes or has the potential to include heritage assets with archaeological interest, local planning authorities should require

developers to submit an appropriate desk-based assessment and, where necessary, a field evaluation.

National Planning Policy Framework:

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-planning-policyframework--2>

Heritage Statement

Site name

Land/ Car Park Maypole
Farm Court

**Address of site
(including postcode)**

Former Village Hall Car Park Maypole Farm Court
Knowsley Village L34 9BD

Grid Reference

343400, 395999

1. Schedule of Works

Please state the type of proposal e.g. extension to a listed building, internal alterations

The proposal of the works to be carried out on the site is for the erection of one detached dwelling. Using materials in keeping with the local setting. The new build element is situated on land previously used for car parking which is no longer required for the village hall.

Please list the works proposed e.g. replacement windows, removal of internal wall, reinstatement of original staircase, damp proofing works to basement etc.

Please expand box or attach additional sheets

Proposed works include footings and the development of the plans submitted to achieve the plan submitted to the planning officer.

2. Pre Application Advice

Have you consulted the Historic Environment Record?

☐ Yes ☐ No YES

If Yes, please attach any correspondence to this Heritage Statement in Appendix 1 (including the HER Consultation Report)

Have you sought pre-application heritage advice from the relevant local planning authority?

☐ Yes ☐ No NO

If Yes, please provide a copy of any written correspondence with reference number/contact name if applicable.

DR Ben Croxford
Reference CME3218
No building has been on the site since the late 18th Century

Have you sought pre-application heritage advice from Historic England?

☐ Yes ☐ No NO

If Yes, please provide a copy of any written correspondence with reference number/contact name if applicable.

--

3. What heritage asset(s), including their setting, are potentially affected by the proposals? (Please tick the relevant boxes below)

1: Scheduled Monument (SM)	☐
2. Listed Building (LB)	☒
3. Conservation Area (CA)	☒
4. Registered Park and Garden (RPG)	☐
5. Historic Battlefield (HB)	☐
6. Locally Listed Heritage Asset (LLHA)	☒
7. Archaeological Notification Area (ANA)	☐
8 Other Non-Designated Heritage Asset (including below ground archaeology)	☐

4. What is known about the affected heritage asset(s)?

4 (a). Using the information obtained through research and on-site analysis provide a summary of the history of the site/building.

Please add a summary history of the site/building including specifically the parts that will be impacted by the proposals – e.g. how the site/building was originally laid out, how it has evolved, phases of construction and/or change Please also provide information on past impacts – e.g. modern extension, drainage, former footings, recent landscaping, gardening. **Please add any research material as an appendix to this report after Appendix 1.**



4 (b) What research have you undertaken to understand the significance of the heritage asset(s) affected?

Please tick the relevant boxes

HER	☒
Historic England Good Practice Advice in Planning 2 (Read)	☒
Map regression (historic maps)	☒
Local Planning Authority sources	☒
Historic England sources	☒
Museum or Library (please provide details)	☐
Other (please state)	☐

(Please add any research material as an appendix to this report after Appendix 1.)

5. What is important about the affected heritage asset(s) ('the significance')?

Use this space to describe the significance of the heritage asset(s) and their setting (including below ground archaeology) identified in Section 3. Please see the guidance under 'further information' on page 1 on what a heritage asset is and how to define significance.

(Please continue on separate sheet of paper if further space is required and attach as an appendix to this report after Appendix 1.)

Two designated heritage assets close by are MME18559 and MME20796. Both of these assets will be out of sight of the proposed development as there has been housing built in Maypole Farm Court . Positive impact overall as will improve the existing site from being overgrown and rubbish on site. Previously vans and cars parked on site. The new build development will improve the setting of Maypole Farm Court achieving a positive outcome whilst having minimal impact on the setting of the village.

6. How will the proposals impact on the significance of the heritage asset(s) and their setting?

Please discuss what impact the proposals have on the heritage asset(s) i.e. loss or disturbance of historic building fabric, below or above ground archaeological impacts, setting or change of relationship between buildings and altering scale. **(Please continue on separate sheet of paper if further space is required and attach as an appendix to this report after Appendix 1.)**

Minimal impact as building is going over the site of the car park. Minimal setting impact as most trees and site position of dwelling will be proportionate to the size of the overall site.

7. How has the proposal been designed to conserve the significance of the heritage asset(s) and their setting?

Describe how the proposal has been designed to conserve and enhance the significance of the heritage assets (including below ground archaeology). Also describe how any harmful impacts have been avoided or minimised. For

example, use of raft foundations, movement of the proposed extension to a less sensitive location.

(Please continue on separate sheet of paper if further space is required and attach as an appendix to this report after Appendix 1.)

The proposal has been carefully considered. High quality design with sustainable response to the unique site. Building will be of high quality. It will seek to not only fit in with its context but to enhance it. This will be achieved by respecting the local environment and landscape. Taking reference from the local setting. The proposal will have minimal impact on its surroundings. Making a positive contribution to the local area. Tree survey carried out to minimise harmful impacts.

Contact details:

Historic Environment Record
Merseyside.HER@eas.sefton.gov.uk

Historic England
www.historicengland.org.uk

Local Planning Authority
Knowsley Council

Appendix 1

To be completed by the applicant – please tick the relevant box Please note one of these boxes must be ticked or your application will not be valid	
HER report attached	☐
HER report not considered necessary	☒

HER report not relevant for reasons indicated by the Local Planning Authority. These reasons are: - The site is not located in an Archaeological Notification Area and is not a major development - The site is located in an Archaeological Notification Area but no below ground works (e.g. foundations or excavation) or demolition works are proposed and - The application is for change of use	☒ ☐ ☐
--	---