

---

## OUTLINE DEMOLITION METHOD STATEMENT

Prior Approval / Ecology Revision — incorporating the Demolition Justification Statement

---

### St Agnes Parish Club, 82 Huyton Hey Road / St Mary's Road, Huyton, Knowsley, L36 5SR

|                             |                                                                                                            |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Client / Employer</b>    | The Archdiocese of Liverpool (Registered Charity No. 1199714)                                              |
| <b>Planning application</b> | 26/00079/DEMCON — Knowsley Metropolitan Borough Council                                                    |
| <b>Project reference</b>    | ADL00002                                                                                                   |
| <b>Document reference</b>   | ADL00002 DS                                                                                                |
| <b>Revision</b>             | V2                                                                                                         |
| <b>Status</b>               | Final — for submission. Supersedes ADL00002 DS V1, dated 22 February 2025                                  |
| <b>Document type</b>        | Outline document, issued before appointment of the Principal Contractor. Not a construction phase document |
| <b>Prepared By</b>          | Richard Morris MRICS – Property Surv                                                                       |
| <b>Document Date</b>        | 10 August 2026                                                                                             |

## Note

This document and its contents have been prepared by Property Surv (Building Surveying & Design) Ltd (hereafter referred to as Property Surv) for the Client / Employer, and are intended solely as information for use in relation to the proposed demolition of St Agnes Parish Club, Huyton, Knowsley.

It may not be used by any person for any purpose other than that specified without the express written permission of Property Surv. Any liability arising out of use by a third party of this document for purposes not wholly connected with the above shall be the responsibility of that party, who shall indemnify Property Surv against all claims, costs, damages and losses arising out of such use.

Copyright in this document remains vested in Property Surv (Building Surveying & Design) Ltd.

## Document Details

|                           |                                                                                   |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Client</b>             | The Archdiocese of Liverpool                                                      |
| <b>Job title</b>          | The Archdiocese of Liverpool – St Agnes Parish Club, Huyton, L36 5SR – Demolition |
| <b>Project reference</b>  | ADL00002                                                                          |
| <b>Document title</b>     | Outline Demolition Method Statement – Prior Approval / Ecology Revision           |
| <b>Document reference</b> | ADL00002 DS                                                                       |
| <b>Report version</b>     | V2                                                                                |

## Document History

| Job number: ADL00002 |                        |                  |             | Document reference: ADL00002 DS |             |               |                                              |
|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|-------------|---------------|----------------------------------------------|
| Version              | Status                 | Date             | Prepared By | Checked By                      | Reviewed By | Authorised By | Issued To                                    |
| V1                   | Issued                 | 22 February 2025 | RM          | JM                              | RM          | RM            | Knowsley MBC — Building Control and Planning |
| V2                   | Final — for submission | 10 August 2026   | RM          | JM                              | RM          | RM            | Planning, Building Control, Client           |

## Contents

|                                                                                               |           |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| <b>1. Introduction, Status and Distribution .....</b>                                         | <b>5</b>  |
| 1.1 Purpose of this revision.....                                                             | 5         |
| 1.2 Status, supersession and distribution .....                                               | 5         |
| 1.3 Limits of this document .....                                                             | 6         |
| 1.4 Document hierarchy — the status of this statement and the documents which follow it ..... | 7         |
| <b>2. The Proposal .....</b>                                                                  | <b>7</b>  |
| <b>3. Site and Building Description.....</b>                                                  | <b>8</b>  |
| <b>4. Statutory Framework, Consents and Sequencing.....</b>                                   | <b>8</b>  |
| 4.1 Consents and notifications.....                                                           | 8         |
| 4.2 Relationship to the Section 80 notification and the Section 81 counter notice .....       | 8         |
| 4.3 Section 81 requirements and how they are addressed.....                                   | 9         |
| 4.4 Sequencing .....                                                                          | 10        |
| <b>5. Roles and Responsibilities .....</b>                                                    | <b>10</b> |
| <b>6. Statutory Services .....</b>                                                            | <b>10</b> |
| <b>7. Asbestos.....</b>                                                                       | <b>11</b> |
| <b>8. Ecology — Survey Background and Findings.....</b>                                       | <b>11</b> |
| <b>9. Bats — Measures Before Demolition.....</b>                                              | <b>12</b> |
| 9.1 Licence in place .....                                                                    | 12        |
| 9.2 Named Ecologist and Ecological Clerk of Works.....                                        | 12        |
| 9.3 Ecological toolbox talk .....                                                             | 12        |
| 9.4 Receptor roost erected before destructive works .....                                     | 12        |
| 9.5 Replacement mitigation roost .....                                                        | 12        |
| 9.6 Pre-commencement check .....                                                              | 13        |
| 9.7 Timing and weather .....                                                                  | 13        |
| <b>10. Bats — Measures During Demolition.....</b>                                             | <b>13</b> |
| 10.1 Supervised dismantling .....                                                             | 13        |
| 10.2 Exclusion.....                                                                           | 13        |
| 10.3 Capture and translocation .....                                                          | 13        |
| 10.4 Stop-work procedure — bats found outside supervision .....                               | 13        |
| <b>11. Bats — Lighting .....</b>                                                              | <b>14</b> |
| <b>12. Breeding Birds .....</b>                                                               | <b>14</b> |
| <b>13. Terrestrial Mammals — Hedgehog Reasonable Avoidance Measures.....</b>                  | <b>14</b> |
| <b>14. Trees and Arboricultural Protection .....</b>                                          | <b>15</b> |
| 14.1 Arboricultural information relied upon .....                                             | 15        |
| 14.2 Position on tree removal .....                                                           | 15        |
| 14.3 Effect of the demolition on the trees .....                                              | 15        |
| 14.4 Sequence of arboricultural operations .....                                              | 16        |
| 14.5 Protective fencing .....                                                                 | 16        |
| 14.6 Restrictions during demolition adjacent to trees and root protection areas .....         | 16        |
| 14.7 Response to the MEAS request in relation to trees .....                                  | 17        |
| 14.8 Protected-species precautions applying to tree works.....                                | 18        |
| 14.9 Residual matter for the ecologist .....                                                  | 19        |
| <b>15. Pre-Commencement Measures .....</b>                                                    | <b>19</b> |
| <b>16. Outline Demolition Sequence.....</b>                                                   | <b>19</b> |
| <b>17. Protection of the Public and Adjoining Property.....</b>                               | <b>20</b> |
| <b>18. Environmental Controls .....</b>                                                       | <b>20</b> |
| 18.1 Dust.....                                                                                | 20        |
| 18.2 Noise and vibration .....                                                                | 21        |
| 18.3 Working hours.....                                                                       | 21        |

|                                                                                  |           |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| 18.4 Burning.....                                                                | 21        |
| 18.5 Unexpected contamination .....                                              | 21        |
| 18.6 Imported material and site restoration .....                                | 21        |
| <b>19. Waste Management .....</b>                                                | <b>21</b> |
| <b>20. Completion of Works.....</b>                                              | <b>21</b> |
| <b>21. Principal Contractor’s Detailed RAMS and Construction Phase Plan.....</b> | <b>22</b> |
| <b>22. Summary Schedule of Ecological Control Measures.....</b>                  | <b>22</b> |
| <b>Appendix A — Documents Relied Upon.....</b>                                   | <b>24</b> |
| <b>Appendix B — Ecological Hold Point Sign-Off Record.....</b>                   | <b>25</b> |
| <b>Appendix C — Demolition Justification Statement .....</b>                     | <b>26</b> |
| <b>Appendix D — Response to the MEAS Consultation dated 3 August 2026.....</b>   | <b>28</b> |

# 1. Introduction, Status and Distribution

## 1.1 Purpose of this revision

This Outline Demolition Method Statement has been prepared by Property Surv (Building Surveying & Design) Ltd on behalf of the Archdiocese of Liverpool in relation to the proposed demolition of the former parish club building at St Agnes Parish Club, Huyton.

It supersedes ADL00002 DS V1 dated 22 February 2025, which was prepared for the purposes of notification under Section 80 of the Building Act 1984 and which was submitted both to Knowsley Building Control and in support of the Prior Approval application.

This revision has been prepared in direct response to the Development Management Advice issued by Merseyside Environmental Advisory Service (MEAS) to Knowsley Council on 3 August 2026 (MEAS file reference KN25-052). MEAS advised that the previous ecology section, which stated only that works would be carried out in line with the recommendations of the commissioned ecological assessments, was not accepted. MEAS required that all mitigation be contained within the demolition method statement itself, so that the information is accessible within a single document.

Accordingly, the ecological mitigation set out in the reports of Tyrer Ecological Consultants Ltd has been reproduced and integrated into Sections 8 to 14, together with the breeding bird and terrestrial mammal requirements which MEAS has confirmed remain applicable. The requirements of the Section 81 counter notice are set out at Section 4.2, and the environmental control commitments previously given to Knowsley Environmental Health are consolidated at Section 18. One document therefore now addresses Building Control, Environmental Health and MEAS.

## 1.2 Status, supersession and distribution

A single outline methodology governs this demolition. To avoid any ambiguity between the planning and Building Act processes, the position is as follows.

- ADL00002 DS V1, dated 22 February 2025, was prepared for the purposes of the notification under Section 80 of the Building Act 1984 and was submitted to Knowsley Building Control under Council reference 26/00004/S80. It was subsequently submitted in support of the Prior Approval application.
- This document, ADL00002 DS V2, supersedes ADL00002 DS V1 in full and for all purposes. V1 should no longer be relied upon by any party.
- V2 is issued in support of the Prior Approval application 26/00079/DEMCON. It is also the outline methodology from which the detailed demolition method statement will be developed.
- This statement will be issued to tenderers as part of the tender documentation. On appointment, the Principal Contractor will develop the detailed demolition method statement from it and will submit that document to Knowsley Building Control in satisfaction of the requirements of the Section 81 notice. Property Surv will separately confirm the appointments under CDM 2015, which the schedule requires prior to commencement.
- The Section 81 notice is served on Property Surv. Passing the obligations to the Principal Contractor under the building contract does not transfer the notice, and the submission made by the contractor will be verified before commencement.
- The Section 81 requirements are reproduced at Section 4.2, with a cross-reference to where each is addressed. Nothing in this document alters, satisfies or discharges those requirements, which stand as served.
- Appendix C, the Demolition Justification Statement, is a planning document relevant to the Prior Approval application and to the assessment of alternatives. It is included so that the justification for the demolition and the methodology by which it will be carried out sit in one place. It forms no part of the Building Act notification and is not relied upon for any Building Control purpose.
- The Section 81 notice is a Building Control requirement. It does not constitute, and is not a substitute for, planning Prior Approval.

So that no party is left working to a superseded version, it is to be distributed as follows.

| Recipient                                                | Purpose                                                                                                      | Note                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
|----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Knowsley Metropolitan Borough Council — Planning         | Prior Approval application 26/00079/DEMCN, and onward referral to MEAS                                       | Supersedes the version previously before the Local Planning Authority                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| Knowsley Metropolitan Borough Council — Building Control | Section 80 notification, Council reference 26/00004/S80, and the Section 81 counter notice dated 8 June 2026 | Not issued at this stage. On appointment, the Principal Contractor will develop the detailed demolition method statement from this document and submit it to Building Control in satisfaction of the Section 81 requirements. Section 4.2 identifies where each requirement of the schedule is addressed |
| The Archdiocese of Liverpool                             | Client record                                                                                                | —                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
| Principal Contractor, on appointment                     | Basis for the detailed RAMS and Construction Phase Plan                                                      | The Principal Contractor is to work to this revision. Any earlier version held is to be withdrawn from site                                                                                                                                                                                              |

The material at Appendices C and D relates to the Prior Approval application and is provided for the Local Planning Authority and its ecological adviser. It records the justification for the demolition and the response to the MEAS consultation. It does not form part of the demolition methodology, does not alter it, and imposes no requirement on the Principal Contractor.

### 1.3 Limits of this document

This statement should be read subject to the following.

- It is an outline document. It is not a Construction Phase Plan, not a risk assessment, and not a licensed method statement. The documents which perform those functions, and the parties responsible for them, are identified at Section 1.4.
- It confers no consent and discharges no requirement. Prior Approval under application 26/00079/DEMCN and a European Protected Species Mitigation Licence both remain to be obtained, and the requirements of the Section 81 notice stand as served.
- Property Surv is not the appointed ecological consultant and is not the appointed arboricultural consultant. The ecological and arboricultural findings reproduced in this statement are the responsibility of Tyrer Ecological Consultants Ltd and Lally Tree Management respectively, as authors of the reports listed at Appendix A. Property Surv has reproduced those findings and has not verified them, being unqualified to do so in either discipline.
- Where the mitigation reproduced here differs from the licence, the licensed method statement, or the written instruction of the Named Ecologist, those documents prevail. Where it differs from the Arboricultural Method Statement, that document prevails on arboricultural matters.
- Tree references, stem diameters, Root Protection Areas, distances and retention categories are reproduced from the Arboricultural Impact Assessment and have not been re-measured. Roost location, species, count and significance are reproduced from the Dusk Survey Results.
- No intrusive investigation or opening up has been carried out for the purposes of this revision, and no asbestos survey information is reproduced. The extent, type and location of asbestos-containing materials is a matter for the appointed specialist, informed by the survey information issued to the contractor.
- The schedule to the Section 81 notice, as served, does not identify which items apply, contains two inconsistent provisions in relation to burning, and refers to adjacent buildings shown on a plan which did not accompany the notice. This statement adopts the more onerous reading in each case. That position is subject to clarification from Knowsley Building Control, and Section 4.2 should be read accordingly.
- This statement is prepared for the Client and for submission to Knowsley Metropolitan Borough Council in connection with the above application. It is not prepared for the benefit of any other party, and no reliance should be placed upon it by others without the written agreement of Property Surv.

## 1.4 Document hierarchy — the status of this statement and the documents which follow it

This is an outline document prepared by the Principal Designer before the appointment of a demolition contractor. It is not a construction phase document, and it is not the detailed method statement to which the works will be built. That document does not yet exist and cannot be prepared until a contractor is appointed.

| Document                                                        | Author                                          | Stage                                                                                   | Purpose                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| ADL00002 DS — this document                                     | Property Surv, as Principal Designer            | Before appointment of the contractor. Issued for Prior Approval and to Building Control | Sets the outline methodology and the mandatory controls, including all ecological, arboricultural, environmental and Section 81 requirements                                                                                     |
| Detailed demolition method statement and risk assessments       | Principal Contractor                            | After appointment, before commencement                                                  | Developed from this statement. Site-specific sequencing, plant selection, temporary works, exclusion zones and task-level risk assessment. Submitted to Knowsley Building Control in satisfaction of the Section 81 requirements |
| Construction Phase Plan                                         | Principal Contractor                            | After appointment, before commencement                                                  | Regulation 12, CDM 2015. Must incorporate the controls at Sections 9 to 14 and reproduce the stop-work procedure at Section 10 in full                                                                                           |
| Licensed method statement, mitigation figures and work schedule | Named Ecologist, as approved by Natural England | On grant of the licence                                                                 | Governs the licensed works. Prevails over this document in the event of any conflict                                                                                                                                             |

The relationship between these documents is one of supplement, not substitution. The Principal Contractor's documents add detail. They cannot dilute, omit or vary any control set out in this statement, and in particular cannot vary the ecological hold points scheduled at Section 22.

Further revisions of this outline statement may be issued, and will continue the same numbering sequence. The Principal Contractor's documents are separate deliverables authored by a different duty holder. They are not revisions of this statement, will not be issued under this document reference, and are the documents by which the Section 81 requirements are to be satisfied in operational detail.

This remains an outline document. A demolition contractor has not yet been appointed. Upon appointment, the Principal Contractor will prepare detailed, site-specific demolition method statements, risk assessments and a Construction Phase Plan in accordance with the Construction (Design and Management) Regulations 2015 and BS 6187: Code of Practice for Full and Partial Demolition. Nothing in this document relieves the Principal Contractor of those duties, nor transfers to Property Surv any duty properly resting with the Principal Contractor, the Named Ecologist or any other duty holder.

Property Surv is not the appointed ecological or arboricultural consultant. Where specialist mitigation is reproduced in this document it is drawn from the reports identified at Appendix A. In the event of any conflict, the licence and the written instruction of the Named Ecologist prevail.

## 2. The Proposal

- Demolition of the former parish club building only.
- St Agnes Church is unaffected by the proposals and remains in use throughout.
- No trees are proposed for removal, and no tree removal is required in order to carry out the demolition.
- No replacement structure is proposed under this application. On completion the site will be left safe, level, clean and secure pending any future proposals.
- Current anticipated commencement: 5 October 2026, subject to Prior Approval, ecological licensing and all applicable statutory and pre-commencement requirements. This date is an anticipated programme date only and is not presented as an approved or authorised start.

For the avoidance of doubt, the European Protected Species Mitigation Licence to be obtained through the Bat Earned Recognition route relates to the bat roost within the building and to the impact arising from the demolition of that building. It is not a licence for tree felling or tree removal, and no tree felling or tree removal is proposed as part of this application.

### 3. Site and Building Description

The building comprises a detached, single-storey former parish club of brick masonry construction, located within the curtilage of the church recorded by Knowsley Metropolitan Borough Council as St Agnes and St Aidans RC Church, and accessed from the existing church car park and hardstanding. The site is bordered to the north by Huyton Hey Road and to the south by St Mary's Road, with the church to the east and residential properties to the west.

The ecological survey records the building as a brick-built single-storey disused former church hall / club with a flat felted roof, of approximate overall dimensions 28m x 24m x 4m, with timber tongue-and-groove cladding to the upper elevations. The building is recorded as poorly sealed externally, with numerous gaps and openings to all elevations, including gaps in the brickwork leading into the wall cavity and gaps beneath and within the timber cladding.

The building has been vacant and redundant for a prolonged period and is in a dilapidated condition. It presents an increasing risk of unauthorised access, vandalism and anti-social behaviour.

The building is not listed and is not located within a conservation area.

The building is known to contain asbestos-containing materials. Asbestos is dealt with at Section 7.

### 4. Statutory Framework, Consents and Sequencing

#### 4.1 Consents and notifications

| Consent / notification                                                                                                             | Status                                                                                                                                             |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Prior Approval for demolition, Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015, Schedule 2, Part 11 | Application 26/00079/DEMCON — under consideration by Knowsley Metropolitan Borough Council                                                         |
| Section 80 notice, Building Act 1984                                                                                               | Submitted to Knowsley Building Control. Council reference 26/00004/S80                                                                             |
| Section 81 counter notice, Building Act 1984                                                                                       | Served on Property Surv on 8 June 2026 by the Group Manager, Building Control and Technical Support. Requirements are set out at Section 4.3 below |
| European Protected Species Mitigation Licence (bats), Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (as amended)           | To be applied for by Tyrer Ecological Consultants Ltd via the Bat Earned Recognition route. Not yet in place                                       |
| Asbestos — Control of Asbestos Regulations 2012                                                                                    | Removal of asbestos insulation is a requirement of the Section 81 notice. See Section 7                                                            |
| CDM 2015                                                                                                                           | Property Surv appointed Principal Designer. Principal Contractor to be appointed                                                                   |

The Section 81 counter notice is a Building Control requirement and does not constitute, or substitute for, planning Prior Approval. The two processes are separate and both must be satisfied.

#### 4.2 Relationship to the Section 80 notification and the Section 81 counter notice

Two separate statutory processes are running in relation to this building, and it is important that they are not confused.

| Process                                                        | Document                               | Position                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Building Act 1984, Sections 80 to 82 — demolition notification | ADL00002 DS V1, dated 22 February 2025 | V1 was prepared for, and submitted in support of, the Section 80 notification. Knowsley Metropolitan Borough Council issued its Section 81 counter notice on 8 June 2026 under reference 26/00004/S80. That notice is in force and its requirements stand |

| Process                                                   | Document                      | Position                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Town and Country Planning — Prior Approval for demolition | ADL00002 DS V2, this document | V2 is a revision of V1 prepared for the Prior Approval application 26/00079/DEMCON, expanded to contain the ecological mitigation required by the consultee. It supersedes V1 as the current version of the method statement |

- This revision does not alter the demolition which was notified under Section 80. The building, the extent of the works and the method of demolition are unchanged; the document has been expanded, not redirected.
- The Section 81 counter notice is unaffected by this revision and by the outcome of the Prior Approval application. Its requirements continue to apply in full and are addressed at Section 4.3 below.
- The Section 81 counter notice does not constitute, and is not a substitute for, planning Prior Approval. The two consents are separate and both must be satisfied before demolition commences.
- To avoid any two versions of the method statement being in circulation, V2 supersedes V1 for all purposes and is issued to Knowsley Building Control for information as well as to the Local Planning Authority for determination. V1 should be read as superseded.

### 4.3 Section 81 requirements and how they are addressed

The schedule to the Section 81 notice imposes the following requirements. Each is carried into this statement at the section indicated, and each is to be carried forward into the Principal Contractor's Construction Phase Plan.

| Section 81 requirement                                                                                                                                          | Period of compliance                       | Addressed at                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Consult Cadent Gas, Scottish Power and United Utilities as to disconnection of their services                                                                   | Prior to commencement of demolition        | Section 6                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| All drains from the building to be sealed off at the boundary of the site. Building Control to be given at least 48 hours notice prior to covering              | Prior to leaving the site after demolition | Sections 6 and 20                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| Any loose or hanging parts of the building to be pulled down and the site left in a safe condition                                                              | At the end of each working day             | Sections 16 and 17                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| Demolition to be carried out in accordance with BS 6187, with no risk to the public                                                                             | Throughout the demolition                  | Sections 16 and 17                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| All surplus materials and rubbish to be removed and the site left clean and level                                                                               | Prior to leaving the site after demolition | Sections 19 and 20                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| No burning on site                                                                                                                                              | Throughout the demolition                  | Section 18                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| Consult the Highways Department of Knowsley Council and reach agreement as to the closing off or protection of any highway or highway users                     | Prior to commencement of demolition        | Section 17                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| Any hoarding or obstruction to the highway to be removed after consultation with Highways                                                                       | Prior to leaving the site after demolition | Sections 17 and 20                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| Any damage to adjacent buildings resulting from the demolition to be repaired                                                                                   | As soon as reasonably practicable          | Sections 17 and 20                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| All asbestos insulation to be removed in accordance with the approved Code of Practice and Guidance Note on work with asbestos insulation and asbestos coatings | As soon as reasonably practicable          | Section 7                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| Shoring up of the adjacent buildings shown on the enclosed plan                                                                                                 | Throughout the demolition and as necessary | No plan accompanied the notice as served. Clarification is to be sought before the works are tendered. Section 17 provides for a photographic condition survey of adjoining property and for temporary works to be designed by the appointed contractor |

| Section 81 requirement                                                               | Period of compliance                         | Addressed at                                                                                                                                                                       |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Weatherproofing of any surfaces of the adjacent buildings shown on the enclosed plan | As soon as reasonably practicable            | No plan accompanied the notice as served. Clarification is to be sought before the works are tendered. Section 20 provides for the making good of any damage to adjoining property |
| All requirements to be completed within the period specified                         | Six months from commencement of demolition   | Section 20                                                                                                                                                                         |
| Name of the CDM appointee for the demolition project to be provided                  | Prior to commencement of works of demolition | Section 5                                                                                                                                                                          |

## 4.4 Sequencing

The correct sequence, and the one on which the programme is based, is as follows.

- Prior Approval determined by Knowsley MBC.
- European Protected Species Mitigation Licence applied for and granted by Natural England. The ecologist advises that all planning issues must be resolved before the licence application can be made.
- Pre-commencement arboricultural site meeting, access facilitation pruning, site briefing and installation of tree protective fencing, in the order required by the Arboricultural Method Statement.
- Pre-commencement ecological measures completed, including the toolbox talk and the erection of the receptor roost.
- Demolition commenced under ecological supervision in accordance with the licence.

No works capable of affecting the bat roost, or any potential roost feature, may be carried out before the licence is in place. This includes soft strip, removal of cladding, asbestos removal in the affected areas and any works of investigation or opening up. Access facilitation pruning to trees T4 and T5 is subject to the nesting bird and bat provisions at Sections 10, 12 and 14.

## 5. Roles and Responsibilities

| Party                                           | Role in relation to these works                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| The Archdiocese of Liverpool                    | Client and Employer under CDM 2015. Licence applicant, jointly with the ecologist. Funds and secures the mitigation.                                                                                                            |
| Property Surv (Building Surveying & Design) Ltd | Principal Designer and Contract Administrator. Author of this outline method statement. Not the ecological or arboricultural consultant.                                                                                        |
| Tyrer Ecological Consultants Ltd                | Named Ecologist and Ecological Clerk of Works. Preparation and submission of the Bat Earned Recognition / EPSML application, mitigation design, toolbox talk, supervision, and any capture and translocation of bats.           |
| Lally Tree Management                           | Arboricultural consultant. Arboricultural Impact Assessment and Arboricultural Method Statement, and tree protection requirements.                                                                                              |
| Principal Contractor (to be appointed)          | Detailed method statements, risk assessments and Construction Phase Plan. Compliance with this statement, with the licence and with the instructions of the Named Ecologist. Site security, welfare, temporary works and waste. |
| Licensed asbestos removal contractor            | Removal and disposal of asbestos-containing materials, sequenced with the ecological requirements.                                                                                                                              |
| Natural England                                 | Determination of the licence application and approval of the mitigation.                                                                                                                                                        |
| Knowsley Metropolitan Borough Council           | Prior Approval, Building Control (Sections 80 and 81) and Environmental Health.                                                                                                                                                 |

## 6. Statutory Services

The Section 81 notice requires consultation with Cadent Gas, Scottish Power and United Utilities as to the disconnection of their services prior to the commencement of demolition. That consultation is to be completed and evidenced before works begin.

Applications have been made to the relevant statutory undertakers for gas disconnection. Disconnection will be coordinated by the appointed demolition contractor prior to commencement.

The water supply has been confirmed as isolated at the site boundary.

The electrical supply to the building is derived from the church low voltage system and will be disconnected and made safe by a competent, registered electrician prior to demolition commencing. Particular care is required to ensure that the supply to the retained church is unaffected.

All drains from the building are to be sealed off at the boundary of the site. Not less than 48 hours notice is to be given to Knowsley Building Control before any sealed drain is covered.

No demolition works will proceed until written confirmation of service isolation has been obtained. A pre-demolition services survey and confirmation of disconnection will form part of the appointed contractor's Construction Phase Plan.

## 7. Asbestos

The building is known to contain asbestos-containing materials.

The Section 81 notice requires that all asbestos insulation be removed in accordance with the approved Code of Practice and the Guidance Note on work with asbestos insulation and asbestos coatings, as soon as reasonably practicable.

Removal and disposal of asbestos-containing materials will be undertaken by appropriately competent and, where the material is licensable, licensed contractors, in accordance with the Control of Asbestos Regulations 2012 and with notification to the Health and Safety Executive where required. The extent and type of material present is a matter for the appointed specialist, informed by the survey information made available to the contractor. No asbestos survey information is reproduced in this statement.

Asbestos works must be coordinated with the ecological requirements of Sections 9 and 10. Where asbestos removal would disturb the identified roost feature, the timber tongue-and-groove cladding, or any other potential roost feature, that element of the works is a hold point: it may not proceed until the licence is in place and the Ecological Clerk of Works is in attendance.

## 8. Ecology — Survey Background and Findings

The ecological information relied upon is listed at Appendix A. The survey history is summarised below.

| Date              | Survey                                                                                                                                                                            | Outcome                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| September 2021    | Inspection and Assessment in relation to Bats and Breeding Birds, including a Preliminary Roost Assessment of the built structures and trees on site (Tyrer, issued October 2021) | Clubhouse categorised as of Moderate bat roost suitability. Two dusk and/or dawn emergence surveys recommended. Breeding bird recommendations made.                                                                           |
| May 2022          | Two dusk emergence surveys (Tyrer)                                                                                                                                                | No emergence from the clubhouse. Activity limited to foraging and commuting by up to two common pipistrelle bats.                                                                                                             |
| May and June 2025 | Two updated dusk emergence surveys (Tyrer, issued 27 June 2025)                                                                                                                   | Emergence of a single soprano pipistrelle recorded at 22:05 hrs on 5 June 2025 from beneath the timber tongue-and-groove cladding on the eastern elevation. The building is a day roost for a single soprano pipistrelle bat. |

The roost is recorded as follows.

| Structure                        | Species             | Count | Roost location                           | Access point                   | Site status | Significance |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|-------|------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|--------------|
| St Agnes Parish Church Clubhouse | Soprano pipistrelle | 1     | Crevice beneath cladding, eastern aspect | EP1 — crevice beneath cladding | Day roost   | Site level   |

The roost will be destroyed by the proposed demolition. Retention or modification of the identified roost is not possible, since the building which hosts it must be demolished. A European Protected Species Mitigation

Licence is therefore required from Natural England before any works capable of affecting the roost are carried out. Tyrer Ecological Consultants Ltd are accredited to use the Bat Earned Recognition licensing route and have been instructed to progress the application.

The ecologist assesses the use of the site as being of Site level significance in relation to soprano pipistrelle bats, and records the mitigation as proportionate to that use. No post-development monitoring is required, the roost being a day roost of low significance under the current Bat Mitigation Guidelines.

The mitigation proposed remains subject to the approval of the Natural England European Protected Species team, which may influence its terms.

## **9. Bats — Measures Before Demolition**

The following measures are to be completed before any works capable of affecting bats or any potential roost feature are carried out. Each is a hold point.

### **9.1 Licence in place**

No demolition, soft strip, removal of cladding, asbestos removal in the affected areas, or investigation or opening up of the building may be carried out until the European Protected Species Mitigation Licence has been granted by Natural England and its pre-commencement conditions have been satisfied. A copy of the licence, the licensed method statement, the mitigation figures and the work schedule are to be kept on site for the duration of the works.

### **9.2 Named Ecologist and Ecological Clerk of Works**

A Named Ecologist is to be appointed under the licence, with attendance on site as Ecological Clerk of Works at the frequency and at the stages required by the licence. The Named Ecologist's contact details are to be displayed in the site office and included in the Construction Phase Plan.

### **9.3 Ecological toolbox talk**

Once the licence is in place, and at the pre-commencement stage, the Named Ecologist or accredited agent is to deliver a site induction toolbox talk to the Licensee and to all contractors. The talk is to cover the possibility of bat presence, the content of the licence, the method statement, the mitigation figures and the work schedule. No operative may work on the building until they have received the talk, and a record of attendance is to be retained.

### **9.4 Receptor roost erected before destructive works**

A tree-mounted receptor roost is to be installed and in place before any deconstruction works commence. The box is to be a Schwegler 2F, or a suitable equivalent agreed with the express consent of the ecologist, mounted within a reasonable distance of, and in line of sight of, the existing roost. The receptor roost serves as the receiving unit should bats need to be captured and translocated during the works. It is to be retained after completion as permanent roost provision.

### **9.5 Replacement mitigation roost**

Because the building is to be demolished with no replacement structure proposed, a second Schwegler 2F bat box, or suitable alternative, is to be mounted on a tree within the site boundary as permanent compensatory roost provision. This is separate from, and additional to, the receptor roost.

Indicative positions for both the receptor roost and the mitigation roost are shown on Figure 6.1 of the Tyrer Dusk Survey Results (June 2025). Tyrer's report specifies tree-mounted provision but does not identify individual trees by reference. The final siting and installation of both boxes will be undertaken under the direction of the appointed Named Ecologist and in accordance with the mitigation strategy approved by Natural England under the licence. Tyrer record that the proposed mitigation is subject to the approval of the Natural England European Protected Species team, which may influence its terms. Ecological suitability and final positioning therefore remain within the control of the competent ecologist and the licensing process, and are not determined by this statement.

## 9.6 Pre-commencement check

Prior to any work being undertaken, the presence or absence of bats is, so far as is possible, to be established by the Named Ecologist or accredited agent. This will involve a thorough inspection of the areas at which bats have been observed using the site, using endoscopes and/or a narrow-beam torch as necessary, and a soft strip at the local areas of interest, involving the careful lifting, inspection and removal of components.

## 9.7 Timing and weather

The ecologist advises that there are no seasonal timing implications in relation to the day roost identified on site. All dismantling of potential roost features is to be undertaken in favourable weather conditions, above 9°C and in low wind.

# 10. Bats — Measures During Demolition

## 10.1 Supervised dismantling

The Named Ecologist, or their accredited agent, is to supervise the careful dismantling of all places of bat roost interest. This includes, as a minimum, the identified emergence point EP1, the crevice beneath the timber tongue-and-groove cladding on the eastern elevation. At the Named Ecologist's discretion, supervised dismantling and inspection is to extend to any other areas of the building offering opportunities for bats, with strategies for safely removing bats applied.

Removal of the cladding and of other identified roost features is to be by hand, or by other means approved by the ecologist, in a slow and controlled sequence which allows inspection as each component is lifted. Mechanical demolition of the eastern elevation and of the cladding zone may not commence until the Ecological Clerk of Works has confirmed in writing that those elements are clear.

## 10.2 Exclusion

Where crevices are extensive, or where it cannot be conclusively ascertained that bats are absent from a crevice, exclusion devices may be fitted for a period of three days and three nights, or longer at the Named Ecologist's discretion. An exclusion device is material placed over the entrance area of a roost which allows bats a means of escape while preventing them from re-accessing. Cavities fitted with exclusion devices are only to be filled following completion of that process.

## 10.3 Capture and translocation

Any bats located by the Named Ecologist or accredited agent will be removed, placed in a secure box with soft tissue and transferred into the receptor roost previously erected on site. Bats in ill-health will be taken into care by a previously nominated bat carer. Capture and handling is to be carried out only by the Named Ecologist or accredited agent acting under the licence.

Works may only continue to completion once it has been conclusively established by the Named Ecologist that bats are absent.

## 10.4 Stop-work procedure — bats found outside supervision

In the event that bats are found outside supervision time, then as a legal requirement and as a condition of the licence:

- all work in the affected area is to cease immediately;
- the Named Ecologist is to be contacted without delay for further advice;
- contractors must not touch or handle bats, or in any way cause bats to move, without ecological supervision;
- the area is to be left undisturbed and, where practicable, protected from further disturbance;
- work in that area may only resume when the Named Ecologist confirms that it is lawful and safe to do so.

This procedure is to be included verbatim in the Principal Contractor's Construction Phase Plan and covered in the toolbox talk.

## 11. Bats — Lighting

Lighting must not be directed at or close to the roost areas, nor affect nearby foraging places or flight lines. All flight lines to and from the roost provision are to remain unilluminated at key times.

The darkened corridor to the east of the clubhouse, between the building and the church, provides a commuting resource evidenced by passes by a soprano pipistrelle bat. Temporary task, welfare and security lighting during the works is to be positioned, cowled and directed so as to avoid illuminating this corridor and the tree-mounted roost provision. Lighting is to be the minimum necessary for safety and security, and is to be switched off outside working hours where it is safe to do so.

No permanent external lighting is proposed as part of this demolition. Should permanent lighting be brought forward in any future proposals for the site, a bat-sensitive lighting plan is to be produced by a suitably qualified lighting specialist and checked by a licensed bat ecologist against the ecological reports, to ensure that roost provision and key commuting and foraging areas are not subject to intense lighting.

## 12. Breeding Birds

All wild birds, their eggs, young and nests whilst being built or in use are protected under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended). It is an offence to interfere with an active nest.

The ecological assessment records that, whilst no birds were nesting at the time of survey, the roof provides suitable nesting platforms for bird species associated with urban and garden environments, and use during the breeding bird season is considered possible.

The following measures give effect to the breeding bird requirement set out by Merseyside Environmental Advisory Service and to the recommendations of the ecological reports.

- No building works are to take place during the period 1 March to 31 August inclusive. The current anticipated commencement of 5 October 2026 falls outside that period.
- If it is necessary to undertake works during the bird breeding season, all buildings, trees, scrub, hedgerows and vegetation are to be checked first by an appropriately experienced ecologist to ensure that no breeding birds are present. The ecological reports specify that this check is to be carried out within 48 hours or less before those works commence.
- If breeding birds are present, details of how they will be protected are to be submitted to the Local Planning Authority for approval before the affected works proceed.
- Any works which may affect an active nest are to be delayed until the young have fledged and the nest has been abandoned naturally, as confirmed by the ecologist.
- No nest is to be removed, damaged or destroyed. Where a nest is identified, an exclusion zone is to be established at a distance agreed with the ecologist and the works sequenced around it.
- An appropriately experienced ecologist for this purpose is a person who has, through relevant education, training or experience, gained recognised qualifications and expertise in the field of ecology and environmental management, as defined at clause 3.24 of BS 42020:2013.

These measures apply equally to the access facilitation pruning of T4, T5, T8 and T10 described at Section 14. The programme may change, and the measures are therefore drafted so that they apply automatically should any part of the works fall within the breeding bird season.

## 13. Terrestrial Mammals — Hedgehog Reasonable Avoidance Measures

Merseyside Environmental Advisory Service advises that the habitats on site are suitable for hedgehog, a Priority Species, and that policy CS8 of the Knowsley Local Plan Core Strategy applies. The following reasonable avoidance measures are to be put in place to ensure that there are no adverse effects on them. They apply throughout the works and are to be incorporated into the Principal Contractor's Construction Phase Plan.

- A pre-commencement check for hedgehog is to be undertaken across the working area, including boundary vegetation, material stacks, voids and any areas of cover, before works begin.
- All trenches and excavations left open are to be provided with a means of escape, such as a ramp, or are to be securely covered at the end of each working day.
- Exposed or open pipe systems are to be capped at the end of each working day.

- Materials are to be stored appropriately, off the ground where practicable and clear of vegetated boundaries, so as to prevent hedgehogs using them for shelter. Stacks which have been in place overnight are to be checked before being moved.
- If a hedgehog is found, work in that area is to stop, the animal is not to be handled, and the ecologist is to be contacted for advice.

## 14. Trees and Arboricultural Protection

### 14.1 Arboricultural information relied upon

Lally Tree Management has prepared an Arboricultural Impact Assessment (LTM1097.AIA.01) and an Arboricultural Method Statement (LTM1097.MS.01), both issued on 22 March 2024 and based on a ground-level survey undertaken on 21 March 2024 in accordance with BS 5837:2012. Eight individual trees and two groups were surveyed. Seven trees and one group are categorised as B, moderate quality; one tree and one group as C, low quality. No tree is categorised A or U.

Those documents were prepared for the wider proposals for the site, which comprise demolition of the club and conversion of the area to additional car parking. The present application, 26/00079/DEMCON, is for demolition only. The arboricultural assessment is therefore more extensive than the demolition alone requires; nothing in this statement should be read as implying that car parking works form part of this application.

### 14.2 Position on tree removal

No trees are proposed for removal, and the Arboricultural Impact Assessment concludes that no trees require removal to facilitate the development. All ten surveyed trees and groups are retained. Demolition access is available via the existing hardstanding and car park. The trees do not present a barrier to demolition of the building.

### 14.3 Effect of the demolition on the trees

Two mature sycamores, T4 and T5, stand adjacent to the building and their branches are in direct contact with it. These are understood to be the trees to which the MEAS request relates. The effect of the demolition upon them, and upon the wider tree population, is as follows.

| Tree | Species          | Stem dia. (mm) | Height (m) | RPA (m²) | Distance to proposed development (m) | Works required                                                                                                                                                                                   | Retained         |
|------|------------------|----------------|------------|----------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| T4   | Sycamore         | 700            | 15         | 222      | 2.80                                 | Crown lift to 4.5m on the building side, to facilitate the demolition                                                                                                                            | Yes, category B1 |
| T5   | Sycamore         | 600            | 12         | 163      | 3.60                                 | Crown lift to 4.5m on the building side, to facilitate the demolition                                                                                                                            | Yes, category B1 |
| T2   | Birch            | 450            | 14         | 92       | 25.90                                | No action                                                                                                                                                                                        | Yes, category B1 |
| T3   | Cypress          | 530            | 9          | 127      | 12.60                                | No action                                                                                                                                                                                        | Yes, category B1 |
| T7   | Sycamore         | 650            | 15         | 191      | 23.60                                | No action                                                                                                                                                                                        | Yes, category B1 |
| T8   | Monterey cypress | 700            | 15         | 222      | 28.50                                | Crown lift to 3m for statutory clearance over the path and to allow access into the car park. The adjacent wall, which is being damaged by stem growth, to be monitored and repaired as required | Yes, category B1 |

| Tree | Species             | Stem dia. (mm) | Height (m) | RPA (m <sup>2</sup> ) | Distance to proposed development (m) | Works required                                      | Retained         |
|------|---------------------|----------------|------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| T9   | Sycamore            | 590            | 16         | 157                   | 28.50                                | No action                                           | Yes, category B1 |
| T10  | Holly               | —              | —          | 28                    | 26.70                                | Removal of a dead elderberry bush beneath the crown | Yes, category C1 |
| G1   | Holly x 2           | —              | —          | 88                    | 7.10                                 | No action                                           | Yes, category B2 |
| G6   | Holly and Irish yew | —              | —          | 7                     | 19.20                                | No action                                           | Yes, category C1 |

The arboriculturalist's opinion is that, provided all pruning is undertaken in accordance with BS 3998:2010, the safe useful life expectancy of the trees will not be adversely affected. T4 and T5 are each assessed as having a remaining contribution of 40 years or more.

#### 14.4 Sequence of arboricultural operations

The Arboricultural Method Statement requires the following order, which is to be followed.

1. Pre-commencement site meeting between the site manager and the arboricultural consultant, to confirm the programme, protective measures, storage and site organisation, and to agree any variation to the Method Statement.
2. Access facilitation pruning to T4, T5, T8 and T10, by a qualified and insured tree surgery contractor, in accordance with BS 3998:2010, and subject to the nesting bird and bat provisions below.
3. Site briefing of all personnel on the constraints posed by the retained trees, with the Arboricultural Method Statement and Tree Protection Plan available on site.
4. Installation of protective fencing in the positions shown on the Tree Protection Plan.
5. Demolition, observing the restrictions below.
6. Removal of protective fencing and ground protection on completion, and sign-off.

#### 14.5 Protective fencing

Protective fencing is to be erected in the positions shown on the Tree Protection Plan at Appendix I of the Arboricultural Method Statement, before any demolition plant or materials are brought on to site, and is to remain in place until completion.

The arboriculturalist's opinion is that the default BS 5837:2012 fencing is disproportionate in this instance and that an adequate level of protection can be provided by Heras-type welded mesh panels on rubber or concrete feet, subject to the acceptance of the Local Planning Authority. The fencing is to be strong and suitable for local conditions and appropriate to the degree of construction activity. Notices reading "Protected Area — No operations within fenced area" are to be fixed to the fencing. Once erected the fence is not to be crossed, and no materials or soil are to be stored within the protected area.

#### 14.6 Restrictions during demolition adjacent to trees and root protection areas

- Where direct damage by falling masonry is likely, the tree is to be protected by exterior grade plywood sheets constructed around the main stem.
- The main body of any mechanical excavator is to operate outside the root protection area.
- Masonry is to be pulled away from trees.
- When breaking masonry, a fine water spray is to be used to minimise dust particles where these are likely to cover leaves.
- Excessive dust on trees is to be removed each day by spraying with water.

- Hard surfaces are to be kept in place for as long as possible in order to prevent soil compaction within the root protection area.
- Oil, bitumen, cement or other material likely to cause damage is not to be stacked or discharged within 10m of a tree stem or within the protected area, and concrete mixing and washing out is not to be carried out within 10m of any retained tree.
- No fires are to be lit on site. This is consistent with the Section 81 requirement at Section 18.
- Retained trees are not to be used as anchorage for equipment, and no notice boards, cables or services are to be attached to them.
- Care is to be taken when using cranes or other equipment near the canopy of retained trees.

## 14.7 Response to the MEAS request in relation to trees

MEAS has requested clarification of the effect of the demolition on the two mature sycamore trees on the northern boundary, confirmation of whether those trees are suitable as the proposed location for receptor roost boxes, and an updated Preliminary Roost Assessment or ground-level tree assessment addressing impacts to trees on site.

The trees on this site have been the subject of professional assessment on two separate occasions, by two different disciplines, and the impact of the demolition upon them has been assessed. That evidence is drawn together below so that it is available within this single document.

The arboricultural reports at strands 2, 3 and 4 below were submitted with the application on 25 February 2026, under the document type Tree survey. They are not listed among the documents recorded as reviewed in the Development Management Advice of either 18 May 2026 or 3 August 2026. Copies accompany this statement so that the arboricultural position is readily available to the Local Planning Authority and to its ecological adviser.

| Strand                                                | What was assessed                                                                                                                                                                                                   | By whom, and when                                                                                                                                                                    | Outcome                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
|-------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. Ecological Preliminary Roost Assessment            | A Preliminary Roost Assessment of the built structures and trees on site in relation to bats, forming part of the Inspection and Assessment in relation to Bats and Breeding Birds                                  | Tyrer Ecological Consultants Ltd. Survey 20 September 2021, report issued 31 October 2021. Surveyor an accredited agent on the Class 2 Natural England bat licence of Mrs K. Wilding | The clubhouse was categorised as of Moderate bat roost suitability. In relation to vegetation, Tyrer concluded at paragraph 8.5 that "no remaining vegetation has any potential to host roosting bats" and that no further surveys of that vegetation were required                                                                                                                         |
| 2. Ground-level arboricultural assessment             | A BS 5837:2012 survey of eight individual trees and two groups, recording species, dimensions, condition, retention category and Root Protection Areas                                                              | Lally Tree Management (Matthew Lally FdSc MArborA). Ground-level survey 21 March 2024, reports issued 22 March 2024                                                                  | All trees and groups retained. Seven trees and one group categorised B, one tree and one group categorised C. No tree categorised A or U                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| 3. Ground-level record of tree condition and features | A systematic record, tree by tree, of stem diameter, age class, crown spread, condition grade and observed structural features, taken from ground level on 21 March 2024, when the deciduous trees were out of leaf | Lally Tree Management. Matthew Lally FdSc MArborA, holder of the LANTRA Professional Tree Inspection Certificate, QTRA registered user and VALID validator                           | No cavity, hollow, split, decay, lifted bark or comparable feature is recorded for any tree or group on the site. T4 and T5 are each recorded as being of good form and vitality, condition grade A, with no significant risk features observed. T7, an ivy-clad sycamore in the car park 23.6m from the works, is recorded as having been subject to limited inspection because of the ivy |
| 4. Assessment of the demolition impact upon the trees | Overlay of the proposed works onto the existing site plan, tree by tree, recording the distance to the works and whether each tree can be successfully retained, and the protection required                        | Lally Tree Management, Arboricultural Impact Assessment LTM1097.AIA.01 and Arboricultural Method Statement LTM1097.MS.01, both 22 March 2024                                         | No trees require removal to facilitate the development. T4 and T5, whose branches are in direct contact with the building, require a crown lift to 4.5m on the building side only. Protection measures, fencing and working restrictions specified                                                                                                                                          |

| Strand                                   | What was assessed                                                                                                                            | By whom, and when                                                                            | Outcome                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 5. Bat mitigation and receptor provision | Two dusk emergence surveys and a mitigation strategy for the confirmed roost, including tree-mounted receptor and mitigation roost provision | Tyrer Ecological Consultants Ltd. Surveys 14 May and 5 June 2025, report issued 27 June 2025 | Day roost of a single soprano pipistrelle confirmed beneath the cladding on the eastern elevation. Tree-mounted Schwegler 2F receptor and mitigation boxes specified at the indicative positions shown on Figure 6.1, subject to Natural England approval under the licence |

Taken together, the position is as follows.

- The trees on site were included within the ecological Preliminary Roost Assessment undertaken by Tyrer, and Tyrer reached a conclusion on the roost potential of the on-site vegetation.
- The trees were subsequently inspected from ground level by the appointed arboricultural consultant, and their relationship with the proposed demolition has been assessed tree by tree.
- The two mature sycamores are retained. Their removal is not required in order to demolish the parish club, and no tree removal of any kind is proposed.
- Demolition access is available from the existing hardstanding and car park, and the trees do not obstruct it.
- Tree protection requirements are already established through the Arboricultural Method Statement and are reproduced at Sections 14.5 and 14.6 of this statement.
- A ground-level record of the condition and observed features of every tree on the site exists, taken in leafless condition, in which no cavity, hollow, split, decay or comparable feature is recorded for any tree. That is an arboricultural record and not a bat assessment, and it is not put forward as one; it is identified because it is material which the ecologist is able to interpret.
- Protected-species precautions applying to the tree works are already established and are reproduced below.
- The bat mitigation strategy, including receptor and mitigation roost provision, is already established through the Tyrer ecological work and is reproduced at Sections 9 to 11.
- Final ecological mitigation and receptor provision will be implemented under the direction of the appointed ecologist and in accordance with the Bat Earned Recognition / EPSML mitigation strategy, which Natural England must approve.

MEAS advised on 18 May 2026 that the trees appeared to pose barriers to the proposed demolition. That point is answered by the arboricultural evidence above, which is submitted with this statement: the trees do not obstruct the demolition, none requires removal, and the works to T4 and T5 are limited to a crown lift on the building side to allow the building to be taken down.

On the second point, Property Surv does not seek to substitute its own view for that of MEAS, and does not suggest that an arboricultural inspection is a substitute for an ecological one. An arboriculturalist records features which bear on structural condition, and crevice-dwelling bats make use of features well below that threshold. The material above is set out so that what has already been recorded is visible in one place, and so that it is available to the ecologist. The current ecological opinion on the roost potential of the trees is being obtained from Tyrer Ecological Consultants Ltd and is addressed at Section 14.9.

## 14.8 Protected-species precautions applying to tree works

The Arboricultural Impact Assessment records that all bat species and their roosts are protected, that unidentified bat habitat features may be located high in tree crowns, and that all personnel carrying out tree works are to be vigilant to that possibility. It requires that, if any bat roost is subsequently identified, works are halted immediately and a suitably qualified and experienced ecologist investigates and advises on appropriate action before works continue. It further requires that any works carried out in relation to protected species are undertaken under the guidance of a suitably qualified and experienced ecologist and in accordance with BS 42020:2013 and, in relation to bats, BS 8596:2015.

Those requirements are adopted in full and apply to the access facilitation pruning of T4, T5, T8 and T10. The nesting bird provisions at Section 12 apply equally to the tree works.

## 14.9 Residual matter for the ecologist

Property Surv does not offer, and does not purport to offer, an ecological conclusion on the present-day bat roost potential of individual trees. If, having considered the combined evidence above, MEAS concludes that a current ecological opinion is required on the bat roost potential of the two mature sycamores and their present suitability as host trees for the receptor and mitigation boxes, that narrow point is a matter for Tyrer Ecological Consultants Ltd, who remain instructed and who are progressing the Bat Earned Recognition licence application. It is in any event a matter which the licensing process itself controls, since the final siting of roost provision requires the approval of Natural England under the licence.

## 15. Pre-Commencement Measures

Prior to demolition commencing, the following are to be in place.

- Prior Approval determined and any conditions discharged or programmed for discharge.
- European Protected Species Mitigation Licence granted and its pre-commencement conditions satisfied.
- Principal Contractor appointed, with Construction Phase Plan, detailed method statements and risk assessments prepared and accepted.
- F10 notification to the Health and Safety Executive where the project is notifiable.
- Confirmation of disconnection and isolation of all statutory services, and sealing of drains.
- Asbestos survey issued to the contractor, and licensed asbestos removal programmed and sequenced with the ecological hold points.
- Secure site boundary, temporary hoarding, warning signage and exclusion zones established, protecting the public, the church, the car park and adjoining property.
- Tree protective fencing installed in accordance with the Arboricultural Method Statement.
- Receptor roost and mitigation roost provision erected in the positions confirmed by the ecologist.
- Ecological toolbox talk delivered by the Named Ecologist, with attendance recorded.
- Pre-commencement ecological checks completed for bats, and for breeding birds and hedgehog where applicable.
- Welfare facilities provided in accordance with CDM 2015.

## 16. Outline Demolition Sequence

The anticipated sequence is set out below. It is an outline methodology only. The detailed methodology, plant selection and sequencing will be determined by the appointed Principal Contractor and set out in their own method statements and Construction Phase Plan.

1. Prior Approval and other applicable statutory requirements satisfied.
2. Bat Earned Recognition / EPSML obtained from Natural England before any works capable of affecting the bat roost.
3. Principal Contractor appointed.
4. Detailed risk assessments, method statements and Construction Phase Plan prepared and accepted.
5. Statutory services isolated and disconnected; drains sealed at the site boundary.
6. Site secured, hoarding, signage and exclusion zones established.
7. Pre-commencement arboricultural site meeting; access facilitation pruning to T4, T5, T8 and T10, subject to the nesting bird and bat precautions at Sections 12 and 14; site briefing of all personnel; installation of tree protective fencing in accordance with the Tree Protection Plan.
8. Ecological pre-commencement requirements completed.
9. Contractor ecological toolbox talk delivered by the Named Ecologist or accredited agent.
10. Receptor and mitigation roost provision installed at the stage required by Tyrer and by the licence, the receptor roost being in place before any deconstruction works.
11. Asbestos removal and management undertaken as required, sequenced around the ecological hold points.
12. Controlled soft strip of fixtures, fittings and non-structural elements.

13. Ecologist-supervised removal of identified bat roost features, including the cladding to the eastern elevation, in accordance with the licence.
14. Controlled removal of roof coverings and roof structure.
15. Sequential demolition of walls and remaining structure, top down, in accordance with BS 6187.
16. Waste segregation and removal.
17. Treatment or removal of foundations, ground slab and hardstanding only insofar as included within the approved demolition proposal.
18. Site left safe, clean and appropriately levelled.
19. Retained trees protected throughout; protective fencing and ground protection removed last and completion signed off by the arboricultural consultant.

## 17. Protection of the Public and Adjoining Property

- Protection of the retained church, its curtilage, boundaries and the adjoining residential properties.
- Controlled demolition adjacent to retained structures, with exclusion zones sized to the plant and method in use.
- Any loose or hanging parts of the building are to be pulled down and the site left in a safe condition at the end of each working day, as required by the Section 81 notice.
- Consultation with the Highways Department of Knowsley Council prior to commencement, and agreement reached as to the closing off or protection of any highway or highway users. Any hoarding or obstruction to the highway is to be removed after consultation with Highways on completion.
- Maintenance of safe pedestrian and vehicular access to the church and car park, with segregation of site traffic from users of the church where the two coincide.
- Prevention of unauthorised access to the demolition area at all times, including outside working hours.
- A photographic condition survey of the adjoining church elevations, boundary walls and adjacent property is to be carried out and agreed before works commence. Any damage to adjacent buildings resulting from the demolition is to be repaired as soon as reasonably practicable, as required by the Section 81 notice.
- Temporary works, where required, designed and implemented by the appointed contractor.

The church remains in use during the works. The Principal Contractor is to liaise with the parish over service times and other events, and to programme noisy operations accordingly.

The Church of St Agnes is recorded as a non-designated heritage asset on the Merseyside Historic Environment Record, reference MME18359. The parish club is not a recorded heritage asset, and Merseyside Environmental Advisory Service has advised that the site is considered to have negligible archaeological potential and that archaeology need not be considered further for this application. The protective measures above nonetheless apply to the church as a retained non-designated heritage asset.

## 18. Environmental Controls

The Environmental Health consultation response of 1 June 2026 requested a scheme for the control of noise and dust from site operations and for the prevention of the transfer of mud out of the site, to be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority, with all works forming part of the scheme implemented before demolition starts.

This section constitutes that scheme. The commitments below were given to the Council by email of 1 June 2026 and are reproduced here without amendment or extension, so that a single document addresses Environmental Health and MEAS. All measures forming part of the scheme will be implemented before demolition works start.

### 18.1 Dust

- Water suppression at source.
- Controlled loading, handling and removal of materials.
- Prevention of dust migration beyond the site boundary.
- Wheel cleaning and site housekeeping.
- Prevention of the transfer of mud and debris to the public highway.

## **18.2 Noise and vibration**

- Compliance with BS 5228 for noise and vibration control.
- Selection of suitable plant and controlled working methods.
- Monitoring where required.

## **18.3 Working hours**

- 08:00 to 18:00 Monday to Friday.
- 08:00 to 13:00 Saturday.
- No works on Sundays or Public Holidays without the prior written approval of the Local Planning Authority where required.

## **18.4 Burning**

No burning of structures or materials is to take place on site at any time. This is the position adopted under the Section 81 notice and is also consistent with the requirements of the Arboricultural Method Statement in relation to fires near retained trees.

## **18.5 Unexpected contamination**

If unidentified contamination is encountered, works in that area will cease, the Local Planning Authority will be notified, and investigation and any necessary remediation will be agreed before works recommence in that area.

## **18.6 Imported material and site restoration**

The current proposal relates solely to demolition of the existing parish club building and restoration of the site to a safe, level condition.

No imported topsoil or other imported materials are currently proposed as part of the demolition works. Should imported or site-won material be required at a later stage, a suitable methodology for testing it for contamination and assessing its suitability will be submitted to and agreed by the Local Planning Authority before the material is imported or used on site. The methodology will address sampling frequency, testing schedules, the criteria against which analytical results are to be assessed and source material information, and the analysis and evidence will be submitted for written approval.

## **19. Waste Management**

- Demolition materials will be segregated into appropriate waste streams.
- Concrete and masonry arisings may be crushed and reused as hardcore where suitable.
- Surplus material will be removed by licensed waste carriers.
- Hazardous waste, including asbestos, will be removed and disposed of separately under the appropriate consignment procedures.
- Waste transfer documentation will be retained in accordance with Duty of Care requirements.

## **20. Completion of Works**

- The site will be reduced to ground level.
- The area will be graded level and left clean and free from hazards.
- Boundary security will be maintained.
- Temporary surfacing may be installed pending any future proposals.
- The receptor roost and the mitigation roost provision are to be retained in position permanently and are not to be removed on completion of the demolition.
- Drains are to be sealed at the boundary of the site, with not less than 48 hours notice given to Knowsley Building Control before covering.
- All surplus materials and rubbish resulting from the demolition are to be removed and the site left clean and level.

- Any hoarding or obstruction to the highway is to be removed following consultation with the Highways Department.
- Any damage to adjacent buildings is to be repaired as soon as reasonably practicable.
- All requirements of the Section 81 notice are to be completed within six months of the commencement of demolition.
- Tree protective fencing and ground protection are to be the last elements removed, and completion signed off by the arboricultural consultant.
- Records required by the licence, including the ECoW records and the toolbox talk attendance record, are to be provided to the Named Ecologist for the licence return, and copies retained in the Health and Safety File.

## 21. Principal Contractor's Detailed RAMS and Construction Phase Plan

The appointed demolition contractor will be responsible for preparing task-specific:

- detailed demolition method statements;
- risk assessments;
- a Construction Phase Plan;
- environmental management measures;
- a traffic management plan, if required.

No demolition works will commence until these documents have been prepared and implemented.

The detailed demolition method statement is to be developed from this outline statement and submitted to Knowsley Building Control in satisfaction of the requirements of the Section 81 notice dated 8 June 2026. A copy is to be provided to Property Surv, and the fact of submission verified, before commencement. The documents will be made available to the Local Planning Authority on request.

The Construction Phase Plan must incorporate the ecological measures set out in Sections 9 to 14 of this statement, and must reproduce the stop-work procedure at Section 10 in full.

## 22. Summary Schedule of Ecological Control Measures

The table below is provided as a working control document for the site. It does not replace the licence or the detailed provisions of Sections 9 to 14.

| Ref | Measure                                                                                  | When                                                 | By whom                             | Hold point |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------|
| E1  | EPSML granted and conditions satisfied                                                   | Before any works to the building                     | Named Ecologist / Client            | Yes        |
| E2  | Ecological toolbox talk to Licensee and all contractors                                  | Pre-commencement, after licence grant                | Named Ecologist / agent             | Yes        |
| E3  | Receptor roost (Schwegler 2F or agreed equivalent) erected in line of sight of the roost | Before any deconstruction works                      | Contractor, positioned by ecologist | Yes        |
| E4  | Mitigation roost (second Schwegler 2F or alternative) erected within the site            | Before completion; position agreed under the licence | Contractor, positioned by ecologist | No         |
| E5  | Pre-commencement bat check, endoscope / narrow-beam torch and local soft strip           | Immediately before works                             | Named Ecologist / agent             | Yes        |
| E6  | Supervised dismantling of roost features, including EP1 cladding, eastern elevation      | During soft strip and demolition                     | ECoW supervising contractor         | Yes        |
| E7  | Exclusion devices where absence cannot be confirmed, 3 days and 3 nights minimum         | As directed                                          | Named Ecologist                     | Yes        |
| E8  | Capture and transfer of any bat to the receptor roost                                    | As required                                          | Named Ecologist / agent only        | Yes        |
| E9  | Stop work, do not handle, contact Named Ecologist if a bat is found outside supervision  | At any time                                          | All operatives                      | Yes        |
| E10 | Mechanical demolition of eastern elevation released in writing by ECoW                   | Before mechanical demolition                         | ECoW                                | Yes        |

| Ref | Measure                                                                                                                                                   | When                                                | By whom                             | Hold point |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------|
| E11 | Breeding bird check within 48 hours of works                                                                                                              | Only if works fall within March to August inclusive | Professional ecologist              | Yes        |
| E12 | Hedgehog pre-commencement check; ramps to excavations; pipes capped; materials stored clear                                                               | Pre-commencement and throughout                     | Principal Contractor                | No         |
| E13 | Lighting controlled; commuting corridor and roost provision unilluminated                                                                                 | Throughout                                          | Principal Contractor                | No         |
| E14 | Tree protection installed and maintained per the Tree Protection Plan                                                                                     | Before works and throughout                         | Principal Contractor                | Yes        |
| E15 | Access facilitation pruning to T4, T5, T8, T10 — nesting bird check and vigilance for bat features in crowns; halt and call ecologist if a roost is found | Before and during tree works                        | Tree surgery contractor / ecologist | Yes        |

## Appendix A — Documents Relied Upon

This statement has been prepared by reference to the following documents. They are identified for transparency; those not prepared by Property Surv are the responsibility of their respective authors.

| Document                                                                                                                        | Author                                                  | Date / reference                                           |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|
| Development Management Advice — St Agnes Parish Club                                                                            | Merseyside Environmental Advisory Service               | 3 August 2026, file ref KN25-052, LPA ref 26/00079/DEMCON  |
| Development Management Advice — St Agnes Parish Club                                                                            | Merseyside Environmental Advisory Service               | 18 May 2026, file ref KN25-052, LPA ref 26/00079/DEMCON    |
| Planning Consultation Response — Environmental Health and Consumer Protection                                                   | Knowsley Metropolitan Borough Council                   | 1 June 2026, LPA ref 26/00079/DEMCON                       |
| Outline Demolition Method Statement                                                                                             | Property Surv                                           | 22 February 2025, ADL00002 DS V1 (superseded)              |
| Demolition Justification Statement                                                                                              | Property Surv                                           | Uploaded to the planning portal 8 June 2026                |
| Inspection and Assessment in relation to Bats and Breeding Birds                                                                | Tyrer Ecological Consultants Ltd                        | Issued 31 October 2021                                     |
| Dusk Survey Results in relation to Bats                                                                                         | Tyrer Ecological Consultants Ltd                        | Issued 27 June 2025                                        |
| Arboricultural Impact Assessment, BS 5837:2012 — submitted with the application 25 February 2026                                | Lally Tree Management (Matthew Lally FdSc MArborA)      | LTM1097.AIA.01, issued 22 March 2024; survey 21 March 2024 |
| Arboricultural Method Statement, BS 5837:2012, including Tree Protection Plan — submitted with the application 25 February 2026 | Lally Tree Management (Matthew Lally FdSc MArborA)      | LTM1097.MS.01, issued 22 March 2024; survey 21 March 2024  |
| Notice under Sections 81 and 82, Building Act 1984                                                                              | Knowsley Metropolitan Borough Council, Building Control | Dated 8 June 2026; Council reference 26/00004/S80          |
| Estimate 5549 — Bat Earned Recognition licence application                                                                      | Tyrer Ecological Consultants Ltd                        | 3 July 2025                                                |
| Response to the Environmental Health consultation, by email to Knowsley MBC                                                     | Property Surv                                           | 1 June 2026                                                |
| Demolition Justification Statement, issued under cover of email to Knowsley MBC                                                 | Property Surv                                           | 8 June 2026                                                |

## Appendix B — Ecological Hold Point Sign-Off Record

To be completed on site and retained with the Construction Phase Plan and the licence documents.

| Ref | Hold point                                           | Released by (name) | Signature | Date |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------|------|
| E1  | EPSML granted and conditions satisfied               |                    |           |      |
| E2  | Toolbox talk delivered, attendance recorded          |                    |           |      |
| E3  | Receptor roost erected and inspected                 |                    |           |      |
| E5  | Pre-commencement bat check completed                 |                    |           |      |
| E6  | Supervised dismantling of roost features completed   |                    |           |      |
| E7  | Exclusion period completed where applied             |                    |           |      |
| E10 | Eastern elevation released for mechanical demolition |                    |           |      |
| E11 | Breeding bird check completed (if applicable)        |                    |           |      |
| E14 | Tree protection installed and inspected              |                    |           |      |
| E15 | Tree works completed; nesting bird / bat check clear |                    |           |      |

## Appendix C — Demolition Justification Statement

This appendix reproduces the Demolition Justification Statement issued to Knowsley Metropolitan Borough Council under cover of email dated 8 June 2026 and uploaded to the planning portal on that date. It is incorporated here so that the justification for the demolition and the methodology by which it will be carried out are available within a single document.

The text at Appendix C.2 is reproduced without amendment. In its Development Management Advice of 3 August 2026, Merseyside Environmental Advisory Service confirmed that the statement contains information relating to the state of the building and justifies the proposals, and that it will facilitate completion of the three-test assessment.

The statement sets out the factual and professional basis for the proposed demolition. It does not purport to make, and should not be read as making, any statutory determination under the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations, which is a matter for the competent authority.

This appendix is a planning document. It is relevant to the Prior Approval application and to the assessment of alternatives. It forms no part of the notification under Sections 80 to 82 of the Building Act 1984 and is not relied upon for any Building Control purpose.

### C.1 Status

|                           |                                                                                                                                          |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Original issue</b>     | Issued to Knowsley Metropolitan Borough Council under cover of email dated 8 June 2026; uploaded to the planning portal on the same date |
| <b>Document reference</b> | ADL00002 DJS                                                                                                                             |
| <b>Text status</b>        | Reproduced without amendment                                                                                                             |
| <b>Consultee position</b> | Merseyside Environmental Advisory Service confirmed on 3 August 2026 that the statement justifies the proposals                          |

### C.2 Demolition Justification Statement

This statement has been prepared in support of the Prior Approval application for the demolition of St Agnes Parish Club, Huyton.

The building comprises a detached former parish club located within the grounds of St Agnes Church. The building has been vacant and redundant for in excess of ten years and no longer serves any operational purpose for the Archdiocese of Liverpool.

Over an extended period of vacancy, the building has continued to deteriorate and has reached a condition where retention and refurbishment are not considered a reasonable or viable option. The structure contains asbestos-containing materials and exhibits significant defects associated with long-term disuse and deterioration. The extent of repair, alteration, asbestos management and upgrading required to bring the building back into a usable condition would be substantial.

In addition, the building does not meet modern operational requirements and would require extensive alterations and investment to provide a viable future use. The building also presents practical constraints in relation to accessibility, compliance and functionality, and there is no identified user requirement for the facility either now or in the foreseeable future.

The Archdiocese has considered retention of the building. However, given the prolonged period of redundancy, the absence of any operational need, the physical condition of the structure, the presence of asbestos-containing materials, ongoing maintenance liabilities and the significant costs associated with refurbishment and adaptation, retention is not considered a reasonable alternative.

The vacant building has increasingly become a management and security concern. Redundant buildings are inherently vulnerable to vandalism, unauthorised access, anti-social behaviour and deliberate fire setting. The Archdiocese has experienced issues associated with redundant properties elsewhere and has legitimate concerns regarding the ongoing risks posed by leaving the building vacant and unmanaged.

Demolition will remove a redundant and deteriorating structure, eliminate ongoing liabilities and security risks, and allow the site to be managed appropriately pending any future proposals. The church itself will remain unaffected.

Accordingly, it is considered that there is a clear justification for demolition of the building and that no reasonable alternative exists which would deliver a viable, sustainable or proportionate outcome.

## Appendix D — Response to the MEAS Consultation dated 3 August 2026

This appendix summarises how each substantive requirement raised in paragraphs 3 to 7 of the Development Management Advice issued by Merseyside Environmental Advisory Service on 3 August 2026 (file reference KN25-052) is addressed. It is provided so that the Local Planning Authority and MEAS can locate the relevant material quickly within this document. It relates to the Prior Approval application and forms no part of the demolition methodology.

For reference, the sequence of information provided in connection with this application is as follows.

| Date                  | Provided                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Recorded by MEAS as submitted                                                                                                            |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 25 February 2026      | Application submitted with supporting documents, including the Arboricultural Impact Assessment LTM1097.AIA.01 and the Arboricultural Method Statement LTM1097.MS.01, both uploaded under the document type Tree survey, together with the Dusk Survey Results, the location plan and the general site plan | The Dusk Survey Results are listed at paragraph 3 of the advice of 18 May 2026. Neither arboricultural report is listed in either advice |
| 23 April 2026         | Local Planning Authority requested a Preliminary Roost Assessment, the emergence survey alone being considered insufficient                                                                                                                                                                                 | —                                                                                                                                        |
| 27 April 2026         | Full Tyrer ecological report including appendices provided by email, comprising the Inspection and Assessment of October 2021 with the Preliminary Roost Assessment                                                                                                                                         | Listed at paragraph 3 of the advice of 18 May 2026                                                                                       |
| 18 May 2026           | Development Management Advice received from MEAS                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | —                                                                                                                                        |
| Following 18 May 2026 | Written clarification to the Local Planning Authority that the trees are retained, that none requires removal to facilitate the demolition, that access is via the existing hardstanding, and that the arboricultural reports assess the relationship between the retained trees and the works              | Not recorded                                                                                                                             |
| 1 June 2026           | Response to the Environmental Health consultation, with ADL00002 DS V1                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | DS V1 listed at paragraph 3 of the advice of 3 August 2026                                                                               |
| 8 June 2026           | Demolition Justification Statement                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Listed at paragraph 3 of the advice of 3 August 2026                                                                                     |
| 3 August 2026         | Further Development Management Advice received from MEAS                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | —                                                                                                                                        |
| With this statement   | ADL00002 DS V2; the Arboricultural Impact Assessment LTM1097.AIA.01; and the Arboricultural Method Statement LTM1097.MS.01                                                                                                                                                                                  | To be reviewed                                                                                                                           |

| MEAS comment / requirement                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Response                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Source evidence                                                                                               | Location in this document                                    | Status    |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Para 4 — the Demolition Method Statement refers to the ecological assessments but does not itself contain the mitigation. All mitigation must be within the method statement so that the information is accessible in one document | Accepted. The operative mitigation has been reproduced within this statement, set out separately for the measures required before and during demolition, with the ecological reports retained as source documents only | Tyrer Dusk Survey Results, June 2025, Section 6.0; Tyrer Inspection and Assessment, October 2021, Section 8.0 | Sections 8 to 13; control schedule at Section 22; Appendix A | SATISFIED |
| Para 4 — no reference was provided as to which ecological assessment contains the mitigation                                                                                                                                       | Every source document is now identified by author, reference and date                                                                                                                                                  | As above                                                                                                      | Appendix A                                                   | SATISFIED |
| Para 5 — the Demolition Justification Statement contains information relating to the state of the building and justifies the proposals, and will facilitate the three-test assessment                                              | Noted with thanks. The statement is resubmitted with its content unchanged, reissued with authorship and document control following the observation that the version on the portal was unattributed                    | Demolition Justification Statement, ADL00002 DJS                                                              | Issued separately as ADL00002 DJS V2                         | SATISFIED |

| MEAS comment / requirement                                                                                                | Response                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Source evidence                                                                                                  | Location in this document              | Status                                        |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Para 6 — clarification of the works and the effect of the demolition on the two mature sycamores on the northern boundary | The two mature sycamores adjacent to the building are T4 and T5. Their branches are in direct contact with the building. The only works proposed are a crown lift to 4.5m on the building side, to facilitate the demolition. Both are retained at category B1; no tree removal is required anywhere on site; access is from the existing hardstanding                                                                         | Lally Tree Management AIA LTM1097.AIA.01 and AMS LTM1097.MS.01, 22 March 2024, ground-level survey 21 March 2024 | Sections 14.2, 14.3 and 14.5 to 14.7   | SATISFIED                                     |
| Para 6 — whether those trees are suitable as the proposed site for receptor roost boxes                                   | Tyrer's mitigation strategy itself specifies tree-mounted Schwegler 2F receptor and mitigation boxes at the indicative positions shown on its Figure 6.1. Final siting and installation will be undertaken under the direction of the appointed Named Ecologist and in accordance with the mitigation strategy approved by Natural England, which controls the point. Property Surv offers no ecological conclusion of its own | Tyrer Dusk Survey Results, June 2025, paragraphs 6.12, 6.21 and 6.22                                             | Sections 9.4, 9.5 and 14.9             | SPECIALIST CONFIRMATION MAY STILL BE REQUIRED |
| Para 6 — an updated PRA / ground-level tree assessment assessing impacts to trees on site                                 | The trees were included within Tyrer's Preliminary Roost Assessment, which reached a conclusion on the roost potential of the on-site vegetation, and were subsequently inspected from ground level by the appointed arboricultural consultant, whose reports assess the demolition impact tree by tree and specify the protection required. The combined evidence is consolidated at Section 14.7 for consideration           | Tyrer, October 2021, paragraph 8.5 and Dusk Survey Results paragraph 1.3; Lally AIA and AMS, 22 March 2024       | Section 14.7                           | PARTIALLY SATISFIED                           |
| Para 7 — Breeding Birds condition remains applicable                                                                      | Accepted. The requirements are reproduced in full and drafted to apply automatically should the programme move into the breeding bird season. Current anticipated commencement of 5 October 2026 falls outside it                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Tyrer Inspection and Assessment, October 2021, paragraph 8.9; Lally AIA paragraph 4.3.1                          | Section 12; tree works at Section 14.8 | SATISFIED                                     |
| Para 7 — Terrestrial Mammals RAMs remain applicable                                                                       | Accepted. The measures are reproduced within this statement rather than cross-referenced                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | MEAS requirement as previously advised                                                                           | Section 13                             | SATISFIED                                     |