

Accessibility Assessment Form

Access on foot		Points	Score	Justification for Scoring
Location	<u>Residential Development:</u> Is the site within 800m of a district or local centre (see Accessibility Maps)	Yes	2	
		No	0	
	OR			
	<u>Non-residential development:</u> Is the density of existing local housing (i.e. within 800m) more than 30 houses per hectare (see Accessibility Maps)			
Internal layout	Does ‘circulation’ and access inside the sites reflect direct, safe and easy to use pedestrian routes for all, with priority given to pedestrians when they have to cross roads or cycle routes?	Yes	1	1
		No	0	
External layout	Are there barriers between site and local facilities or housing, which restrict pedestrian access? Examples include no dropped kerbs at crossings or on desire lines; steep gradients; a lack of a formal crossing where there is heavy traffic; security concerns, e.g. lack of lighting	Yes	-1	1
		No	1	
	Total Score		4	
	Minimum score required			
Comments or action needed to correct any shortfall				

Access by Cycle			Points	Score	Justification for Scoring
Location	<u>Residential Development:</u> Is the site within 1500m of a district or local centre (see Accessibility Maps) Or <u>Non-residential development:</u> Is the density of existing local housing (e.g. within 1500m) more than 30 houses per hectare (see Accessibility Maps)	Yes	2	2	
		No	0		
Internal layout	Does 'circulation' and access inside the site reflect appropriate and direct cycle routes, with priority given to cyclists where they meet motor vehicles?	Yes	1	1	
		No	0		
External Access	Is the development within 400m of an existing or proposed designated cycle route and/ or proposes to create a link to a cycle route, or develop a route.	Yes	1	-1	
		No	-1		
Other	Development includes cycle parking, shower facilities, and lockers for cyclists.	Yes	1	1	
		No	0		
	Total Score			3	
	Minimum score required				
Comments or action needed to correct any shortfall					

Access by Public Transport			Points	Score	Justification for Scoring
Location and access to public transport	Is the site within a 200m walk of a bus or tram stop, and/or within 400m of a rail station?	Yes	2	2	
		No	0		
	Are there barriers on direct pedestrian routes to bus or tram stops or rail stations e.g. a lack of dropped kerbs, footpaths less than 2m wide, a lack of formal crossings and / or bus stop infrastructure.	Yes	0	1	
		No	1		
Frequency	High (four or more bus or tram services or trains an hour)		2	2	
	Medium (two or three bus or tram services or trains an hour)		1		
	Low (less than two bus or tram services or trains an hour)		0		
Other	The proposal contributes to bus priority measures serving the site	Yes	1	0	
		No	0		
	The proposal contributes to bus stops, bus interchange, tram facilities or bus or rail stations in the vicinity and/or provides bus stops or bus interchange in the site	Yes	1	0	
		No	0		
	The proposal contributes to an existing or new supported bus service	Yes	1	0	
		No	0		
	Total Score			5	
	Minimum score required				
Comments or action needed to correct any shortfall					

Parking			Points	Score	Justification for Scoring
Parking	The off-street parking provided is as advised in Section 4 for that development type	Yes	1	0	
		No	0		
	The off street parking provided is less than 75% of the amount advised in Section 4 for that development type (or shares parking provision with another development).	Yes	2	2	
		No	0		
	For development in controlled parking zones:				
	Is the proposal for a car free development?	Yes	1	1	
		No	0		
	Does it supports the control or removal of on-street parking spaces (inc provision of disabled spaces) or contributes to other identified measures in the local parking strategy (including car clubs)	Yes	1	1	
		No	0		
		Total Score			4
	Minimum score required				
Comments or action needed to correct any shortfall.					